Hierarchical Transport Modeling in Nanoparticle Solar Cells

I. Carbone

- M. Voros
- S. Carter
- G. Galli

(UCD) (UCSC) (UCD/Chicago)

(UCSC)

S. Wippermann (UCD/Max Planck)

(UCD)

G. Zimanyi



To improve conversion efficiency: Relaxation by excitons



Keep energy of high frequency photons in electronic sector:

Relaxation by Multiple Exciton Generation:

Photo-excited first exciton relaxes by exciting second exciton instead of phonons

X->XX process needs to be faster than e-ph relaxation

Max efficiency: 44% 1 Sun (Klimov 2005) 70% 1000 Sun (Nozik 2013)

Multiple Exciton Generation

To save the exciton generation from the jaws of electron-phonon interaction:

"We're going to need a bigger Coulomb interaction"



In nanoparticles electrons cannot avoid each other: screening is reduced, Coulomb interaction enhanced (Nozik 2001-2004)

MEG: Consensus Status (in solutions)

Discovery: Schaller, Klimov (2004) Consensus status: Beard (2011)

MEG is certainly present in NPs, even after charging is removed



MEG first implemented in working solar cell: Dec. 2011

Peak External Photocurrent Quantum Efficiency Exceeding 100% via MEG in a Quantum Dot Solar Cell

Octavi E. Semonin,^{1,2} Joseph M. Luther,¹ Sukgeun Choi,¹ Hsiang-Yu Chen,¹ Jianbo Gao,^{1,3} Arthur J. Nozik,^{1,4}* Matthew C. Beard¹*



MEG implemented in working solar cell, II.

Constanting of the second

1000

800



The Quantum Confinement Dilemma (QCD) in Nanostructured Solar Cells









Transcending QCD: Gap reduction strategies

1. Engineering surface reconstruction can lower gap while preserving MEG, Si nanoparticles Voros et al, Phys. Rev. B87, 155402 (2013)

2. Engineering core structures in Ge nanoparticles Voros et al, submitted

3. Si nanoparticles with high-pressure low gap core structures Wippermann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 046804 (2013)

In collaboration with Stefan Wippermann, Marton Vörös, Adam Gali, Dario Rocca, and Giulia Galli

Transcending QCD: Transport boosting strategies

1. Embedding nanoparticles into suitable host forms complementary charge transport pathways for electrons and holes: Si nanoparticles embedded in ZnS Wippermann et al, accepted in PRL (2014)

TALK OF STEFAN WIPPERMANN M24.00003, Wednesday 12.03

In collaboration with Stefan Wippermann, Marton Vörös, Adam Gali, Francois Gygi, Dario Rocca, and <mark>Giulia Galli</mark>

Nanoparticle solar cells appeared on the NREL efficiency chart

Best Research-Cell Efficiencies 50 Multijunction Cells (2-terminal, monolithic) **Thin-Film Technologies** LM = lattice matched CIGS (concentrator) Boeing 48 MM = metamorphic CIGS Solar Sharp Spectrolab Junction (IMM, 302x) IMM = inverted, metamorphic O CdTe (LM. 364x) Spire (LM, 942x) Three-junction (concentrator) O Amorphous Si:H (stabilized) Spectrolab | Fraunhofer ISE Semiconductor Soitec Nano-, micro-, poly-Si 44.4% V 43.6% D Three-junction (non-concentrator) (MM, 299x) (MM, 454x) ▼ 44 (MM, 406x) (4-J, 319x) Þ Multijunction polycrystalline ▲ Two-junction (concentrator) Boeing-Spectrolab Boeing-Spectrolab Two-junction (non-concentrator) Emerging PV (MM, 179x) (MM, 240x) Boeing- Four-junction or more (concentrator) O Dve-sensitized cells Spectrolab (5-J) 40 ⊢ Four-junction or more (non-concentrator) (LM, 418x) • Perovskite cells D (IMM, 325. NREL Sharp (IMM) 38.8% Organic cells (various types) Boeing **Single-Junction GaAs** Organic tandem cells Spectrolab Shárp (IMM) **∆** Single crystal Inorganic cells (CZTSSe) 36 Spectro ▲ Concentrator Sharp (IMM) Quantum dot cells Spectrolat NREL (IMM) **V** Thin-film crystal --- (IVIIVI) 34.1% NREL/ (467x) **Crystalline Si Cells** Japan 32 NREL IES-UPM Single crystal (concentrator) NREL Alta 31.1% Efficiency (%) Single crystal (non-concentrator) Devices Spectrolab Varian Multicrystalline Varian (216x) FhG-ISE Alta SunPower Thick Śi film Devices 28 ΔA 27.6% Silicon heterostructures (HIT) Stanford 26.4% **V** Thin-film crystal Devices (140x) Panasonic 25.0% 24 24.7% 22.8% • -0 UNSW NREL (T.J. Watson 🛆 UNSW / UNSW (14x) Research Center) Eurosolare 20 ARCO 20.1% NREL NREL NREL First Solar Stuttgart Research OKRICT 16.2% 🔾 16 No. Carolina State U. Solarex AstroPower Mob (small-area) 3.4% O Sola Roein United Solar United Solar 12 Kodak Kodak AMETE ٠ Kaneka 8.6% 🔷 8 United Solar Uof NREL / Konarka 0 U. Linz Groningen EPFL Toronto 4 Plextr U Lin U. Linz 0 1995 1975 1980 1985 1990 2000 2005 2015

Nanoparticle solar cells



Built-in field generated by difference in electrode work functions

Built-in field generated by forming p- and ndoped nanoparticle layers

FET mobility in PbSe Nanoparticle films



Kang, et al. Nano Lett. 11, 3887 (2011)

Mobility as fc. of diameter D Small D: steep rise Large D: plateau/decrease

FET mobility in PbS and PbSe Nanoparticle films



FET mobility in PbS and PbSe NP films



2. Mobility as funtion of ligand length: Monotonic exponential decay

M. Law group Nano Lett., 10, 1960 (2010)

FET mobility in PbS and PbSe NP films



3. Mobility as function of disorder: Strong effect at small disorder Weak at large disorder

M. Law group Nano Lett., 10, 1960 (2010)

Transcending QCD on device level: abinitio-based hierarchical transport studies



Marcus theory with ab initio parameters for nanostructures



H. Li, Z. Wu, M. Lusk, J. Phys. Chem. C 18, 46 (2014)

Liu et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 240 (2011) for organic systems Individual nanostructures

Marcus theory with ab initio parameters for nanostructures

CdSe NPs, 5% size fluctation two NPs coupled



Iek-Heng Chu et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 21409 (2011)

Monte Carlo methods

ZnO nanocrystals Continuous time random walk Nearest neighbor hopping Disorder effects 3x3x3 network Large number of parameters



Chandler & Nelson Phys. Rev. B 75, 085325 (2007)

Monte Carlo methods



Hadrien Lepage et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 10873 (2012)

Hierarchical Transport Modeling: ab initio based Kinetic Monte Carlo

- I. Carbone, S. Carter and GTZ J. Appl. Phys. 114, 193709 (2013)
- M. Voros, I. Carbone, S. Carter, G. Galli and GTZ in progress



Galli et al.

Hierarchical Transport Modeling: ab initio based Kinetic Monte Carlo



1. Define nanoparticle lattice

- 1. Topology of lattice retained, only size disorder
- 2. Remove lattice, size and positional disorder



- PBC in x, y, conduction in z
- Nanoparticles enveloped by ligands
- Network can be infilled

1. Define nanoparticle lattice: Size disorder only

Fixed hopping distance "d"

Nanoparticle radius selected with Gaussian distribution

Always six nearest neighbors, packing density ~ ρ =0.52



Closest packing of monodisperse spheres is not the cubic but hexagonal close pack with 12 nearest neighbors: $\rho=0.74$

1. Define nanoparticle lattice: Size and positional disorder

PackLSD (collision driven molecular dynamics):

Generate disordered jammed packing, density: p=0.62-0.63 (monodisperse max.:p=0.634)

> A. Donev, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato, J. Comp. Phys, 202: 737 (2005)



Input to transport: energy differences



- **1. Kinetic energy** E^{kin} Kang and Wise J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, **14**, 1632 (1997)
- 2. On-site Coulomb E^C self-polarization Lannoo, Delerue, Allan, PRL 74, 3415 (1995)

Loading first charge

$$\Sigma(R) = \frac{q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 R} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{out}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{in}}\right) + 0.47 \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{in} R} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{in} - \epsilon_{out}}{\epsilon_{in} + \epsilon_{out}}\right)$$

Loading additional charges $E^{e-e}(R) = \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{out}} + 0.79\frac{1}{\epsilon_{in}}\right)$

- Alt. 1: Configuration interaction from pseudopotentials An, Franceschetti, Zunger, PRB 76, 045401 (2007)
- Alt. 2: True ab initio: GW using Density Functional Perturbation Theory Galli group, PRB 85, 081101 (2012)

1. Kinetic energy E^{kin}

Sophisticated k.p calculation

Kang and Wise J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 14, 1632 (1997)





2. Coulomb energetics

- 3. Nearest neighbor Coulomb
- 4. Ewald summation for the long-range Coulomb portion

$$V_b^a = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_{out}\epsilon_0} \left[\sum_R \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\beta |r_{ab} + R|)}{|r_{ab} + R|} + \frac{4\pi}{\Omega} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \exp(\frac{-|k|^2}{4\beta^2}) \cos(k.r_{ab}) \right]$$

Nanoparticle layer sandwiched between two semi infinite metallic electrodes: mirror images



2. Electron-hole interaction

Solar photons generate electrons and holes

Account for electrons and holes in on-site and long-range Coulomb interaction

$$\Delta E_{ab}^{C} = \Sigma_{b} + n_{b}E_{b}^{e-e} - (\Sigma_{a} + (n_{a} - 1)E_{a}^{e-e}) + \frac{1}{2}V_{ab}$$

$$-n_{b}^{\text{exc}}E_{b}^{e-h} + n_{a}^{\text{exc}}E_{a}^{e-h}$$

$$\text{Now including holes}$$

Analogous equation for holes

Lannoo, Delerue, Allan, PRL **74**, 3415 (1995) An, Franceschetti, Zunger, PRB **76**, 045401 (2007)

3. Dynamics: Transition rates

Thermally activated nearest-neighbor hopping



Miller-Abrahams: low T single phonon

$$\Gamma_{a \to b} = \Gamma_0 \exp(-2\beta\Delta x) \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{E_b - E_a}{kT}\right) & (E_b > E_a), \\ 1 & (E_b \le E_a). \end{cases}$$
$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{2m^*(E_{vac} - E_{barrier})}{\hbar^2}}$$

3. Dynamics: Transition rates

Transition between Miller-Abrahams and Marcus can be in experimentally relevant Trange

Polymer system: Fishchuck et al, PRB 78 (2008)



3. Dynamics: Solving rate equations with Monte Carlo: BKL method

BKL: J. of Comp. Phys. 17, 10 (1975) [analogue to Gillespie, N-fold way, residence time]

- 1. Calculate all hopping rates Γ_i
- 2. Draw a uniform random number r_1
- 3. Identify hop j for which:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \Gamma_i < r_1 \Gamma_{\text{sum}} < \sum_{i=j+1}^N \Gamma_i \qquad \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} \Gamma_i$$

- 4. Execute hop j
- 5. Advance simulation time using second random number r_2

$$\Delta t = -\frac{\ln r_2}{\Gamma_{\rm sum}}$$

(Γ_1
	Γ_2
	Γ_3
	Γ_4
	Γ_5
	Γ_6
	Γ_7 Γ_8
	Γ_9

4. Kinetic Monte Carlo: Measuring the mobility

- 1. Execute iterations
- 2. Check that steady state has been achieved
- 3. Statistical analysis with re-blocking
- 4. Electron mobility in (cm²/Vs):

 $\mu_e = \frac{\text{harvested charges} \times Z}{\text{t} \times \text{total number of carriers} \times F_{\text{ext}}}$

RESULTS: 1. Diameter dependence of μ



Small D: steep rise Large D: plateau/decrease

Exp: larger the diameter, harder to control the size distribution: Possible $\sigma=0.1$ *diameter

RESULTS: 1. Diameter dependence of μ : Physics

Small D: steep rise

1. For increasing D less hops are enough to cross sample

2. $E^{kin}(D)$ less steep for increasing D, reduces energy disorder



Large D: plateau/decrease

As the electron density (#/unit volume) is kept constant, for increasing D the electron #/ nanoparticle increases, causing increasing transport blockade by charging energy E^{C}



RESULTS: 2. Ligand length dependence of μ

Longer the ligand, wider the hopping barrier, lower the mobility



RESULTS: 3. Size disorder dependence of μ

Nanoparticle diameter= $5nm\pm spread$ Exponential decrease of μ with spread



 μ decreases in simulation,

increases in experiment

RESULTS: 4. Electron-hole effects

Relevance of FET mobilities for solar cells? Simulated equal electron and hole densities. Small D: Electron-hole binding slows transport Larger D: electron-hole attraction reduces charging barrier, boosts transport



SUMMARY

Developed hierarchical ab initio-based Kinetic Monte Carlo

Reproduced NP diameter dependence of mobility, explained physics of non-monotonic diameter dependence

Reproduced ligand length dependence of mobility

Called attention to electron-hole correlation effects

Disorder dependence requires further analysis

Framework for extensive method developments established